

## REMARKS AND INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicants are grateful to Examiner Burch for the courtesy extended to the undersigned during telephone interviews on April 3 and 28, 2006.


On April 3, 2006, the Examiner was able to clarify her objection to the proposed amendment to claim 23, so that the amendment presented in the April 5 Response might fully respond thereto. Also, the period for reply was discussed.

On April 28, 2006, the objections raised in the Advisory Action of April 24, 2006, were discussed. Applicants pointed out that claim 26 had been cancelled following incorporation of the limitations into claim 23, and that the objection was moot. Also, Applicants pointed out that annotated drawings had been submitted with the April 5 Response. The Examiner checked the Patent Office files and reported that the annotated drawings were not found. It was agreed that Applicants would submit duplicate copies, which are enclosed. Also, the Examiner agreed that the amendments in the April 5 Response appear to overcome the prior objections and that the claim should be allowable subject to her further review.

The Response filed April 5, 2006, incorporate the features of claim 26, indicated to be allowable, in claim 23. The remaining claims 24, 27-30, 32-38 are dependent upon claim 23, and so also believed to be allowable. In the Response filed April 5, 2006, together with this response, Applicants have taken all actions of which they are aware to comply with all objections and to place the case in condition for allowance.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 50-0831.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Douglas D. Fekete", is written over a horizontal line.

Douglas D. Fekete

Reg. No. 29,065

Delphi Technologies, Inc.

Legal Staff – M/C 480-410-202

P.O. Box 5052

Troy, Michigan 48007-5052

Phone (248) 813-1210

**AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

Please enter the enclosed sheets 2/7 and 6/7, labeled “Annotated Marked Up Copy,” in the file.

The Advisory Action reported that Annotated Marked Up Copies of the Replacement Sheets 2/7 and 6/7 had not been submitted. Applicants’ file has been checked and shows that the annotated drawings were in fact mailed with the Replacement Sheets with the Response on April 5, 2006. Applicants request that a thorough search of Patent Office files be made to locate the annotated sheets. In the event that the missing drawing sheets cannot be located, Applicants request that enclosed sheets be entered in the file.